

PARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATES **Patent and Trademark Office**

"Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INV	ENTOR	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/990,19	5 12/12/	97 FAN		J	
_		QM21/0916	¬	EX	AMINER
JIANHUA F			•	MOY, J	
SSUO MAUD APT. C-2	INA AVENUE	•	[ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NASHVILLE	TN 37209-	3121	•	3727	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

09/16/98

	- Application No. Applicant(s) 7. FAW
Office Action Summary	Examiner 7, My Group Art Unit 3707
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
Period for Response	2
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) of the less than the	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time y default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
☐ This action is FINAL .	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excacordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 1-7	is/are rejected
/ Sidini(o)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
	is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved alisapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Is/are of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ Is/are of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ Is/are of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ Is/are of Draftsperson's Patent Dr	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. wing Review, PTO-948. is approved alisapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ In the drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been amber)
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Central Number of Incomplete Code/Serial Number of Incomplete Code/Ser	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been amber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No. ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ *Certified copies n	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been amber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No. ☐ received in this national stage application from the	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been amber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of The drawing(s) filed on is/are of The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Certified copies not received: *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner. er. by under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been amber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710

Part of Paper No.

Serial Number: 08/990195

Art Unit: 3727

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecuting procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skillful preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

This application is informal in the arrangement of the specification. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the reference to "Microfiche Appendix" and the drawings, each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as section headings. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) Title of the Invention.
- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications.
- © Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix" (see 37 CFR 1.96).
- (e) Background of the Invention.
 - 1. Field of the Invention.
 - 2. Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention.
- (g) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s).
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention.
- (I) Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) Drawings.
- (l) Sequence Listing (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).

Applicant is advised on how to arrange the content of the specification.

Content of Specification

(a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a). The title of the invention should be

placed at the top of the first page of the specification. It should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words.

- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- © Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix": See 37CFR 1.96© and MPEP § 608.05. The total number of microfiche and the total number frames should be specified.
- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) <u>Description of the Related Art</u>: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. This item may also be titled "Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention." Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and

use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.

- (I) <u>Claim or Claims</u>: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet. (37 CFR 1.52(b)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps.
- (j) <u>Abstract of the Disclosure</u>: A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 250 words or less on a separate sheet following the claims.
- (k) <u>Drawings</u>: See 37 CFR 1.81, 1.83-1.85, and MPEP § 608.02.
- (1) <u>Sequence Listing</u>: See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825.

If applicant continues to prosecute the application, revision of the specification and claims to present the application in proper form is required. While an application can be amended to make it clearly understandable, no subject matter can be added that was not disclosed in the application as originally filed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans or Boal, Jr. et al. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use the legs of the container of Evans or Boal, Jr. et al for any type of container such as a pot.

Any inquiry concerning this office action will be directed to Examiner Joseph Moy, (703)

308-1145.

Fax No: (703)305-3579

Date: 09/09/98

JOSEPH M. MOY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3200